

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,557	07/17/2003	Michael Andrew Fischer	050337-1340 (05CXT0076WL)	1331
24504 73	590 05/15/2008		EXAMINER	
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 600 GALLERIA PARKWAY, S.E.				
STE 1500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, G	A 30339-5994			

DATE MAILED: 05/15/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief FISCHER ET AL. 10/621,557 (37 CFR 41.37) Examiner Art Unit IAN N. MOORE 2616 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--The Appeal Brief filed on 07 May 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37. To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136. The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order. 2. The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)). At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)). 4. □ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)). The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)) The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)). 7. \square The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)). The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)). The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)). 10.🔯 Other (including any explanation in support of the above items): See Continuation Sheet. /lan N. Moore/

/lan N. Moore/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2616

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Application No. 10/621,557

Continuation of 10. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

Section IV, of the appeal brief also recites that "Claims 1,6,11,16 and 18 have been amended during procession. A copy of the current claims is attached hereto in the claims- Appendix".

According to page 2 of the advisory action process mail on 1-23-08, which is viewable by PAIR system, which states " claim 6 is amended in line 7 to include "assinged to the station". Claim 16 is also amended in lines 15 to include "assinged to the appratus". These additions made to claim 6-10 and 16-20 raise new issue". [also see a duplicate copy of the advisory action attahced]

In view of the advisory action, it is clear that the amedment to the claims 6 and 16 were not entered. Yet, the appeal brief and claim appendix contain the arguments related to amended claims which were not entered.

Thus, the appeal brief is non-compliant appeal brief because the appeal brief is based on the After-final amendment which has not been entered since After-final amendment contains new issues.